

Remarks

Claims 28-34 are pending in the application, of which claims 28-34 are rejected. By this paper, Applicant cancels claim 28 and amends claims 29, 31 and 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner has rejected claims 28-34 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for containing subject matter not described in the specification. By this paper, Applicant has canceled claim 28. Additionally, Applicant has amended claims 29, 31 and 33 to remove the limitation "without openings" or "no openings". Accordingly, claims 29-34 overcome the rejection. Claims 29, 31 and 33 have also been amended to overcome typographical errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102


The Examiner has rejected claim 28 as being anticipated by Vandergrift. By this paper, Applicant has canceled claim 28.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully asserts that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Manuel et al.

By 
Michael D. Turner
Reg. No. 52,306
Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: 5/9/06

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400
Fax: 248-358-3351